

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCULAR States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Boy. 1459

			. •	\
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,407	02/09/2004	Norbert Korenjak	086166-0307640	1232
909 7590 11/30/2004 PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 10500			AVILA, ST	EPHEN P
MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	1232 MINER TEPHEN P PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	I A a li a di a	
	· ·	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/773,407 Examiner	KORENJAK ET AL	
	Stephen Avila	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the sot or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earmed patent term y the Office later than three months after the ma	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thin-	ONTH(S) FROM	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	nis action is non-final.	ers, prosecution as to the ments is	
and an accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-13 and 16-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers	,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) act Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by a drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		:	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document compared to the priority document copies of the copies of the priority copies of the priority document copies of the copies of the priority document copies of the copies of th	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apports of ty documents have been re	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		1	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M 5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	tion Summany		

Art Unit: 3617

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made:
- 2. Claims 1-12 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sills in view of Craig et al. Sills discloses the basic claimed structure including a watercraft with a tunnel defined by a shell with a transom 20, a top 29, and an open bottom and rear (not Figure 5, for example), an engine 30, a plate 42 with a flexible mounting (note column 3, line 1), and a jet pump 34. Not disclosed by Sills is a ride plate, engine electronics and an air box. Craig et al disclose a ride plate 52, an integrated engine, ride plate and jet unit, engine electronics and an air box 24. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Sills to have an integrated engine, jet unit and ride plate as taught by Craig et al to isolate vibrations and to form the engine with electronics and an air box for improved engine performance. Additionally, to provide the gearing with a clutch would have been an obvious choice of engineering design to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for improved jet performance. Additionally, the intended use of claims 3 and 4 have been given limited weight because

intended use defines no patentable structure.

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sills in view of Craig et al as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Sonnleitner et al.

Sills does not disclose an inclined engine. Sonnleitner et al teach an inclined engine. It

Art Unit: 3617

would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Craig et al with an inclined engine as taught by Sonneleitner et al for improved boat room.

4. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 703-308-2578. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8 AM to 4 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Avila

John Jolan

Page 4

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

Mader